to the "whys and wherefores" of the tariff proposals and schedules as introduced, but instead of this Parliament was set to work upon the task of considering the tariff and various alterations had actually been passed before even part of the Commission's report was made available to members. Even now the most important part of the report dealing with the actual recommendations as to the schedules and items of duty has not been disclosed by the Government. (And in this connection it may be pertinent to ask why? Is the Government afraid to publish the actual recommendations of a Commission specially set up to take evidence throughout the country, and who should thus be in far better position to judge the necessities of each particular case or item than is Parliament, or even Cabinet itself!). In a matter of such grave national import the people should certainly know what the specific recommendations of the Commission were in respect to every item, even though such might not happen to suit the political exigencies of a particular party which happen to be in power.

The Herald very aptly concludes:—"The absurdity of asking the Commission to report on matters it was intended to settle without its aid seems to be equalled only by the contemptuous indifference thus displayed by the Government towards Commission and Parliament alike.

The foregoing figures were taken out for the purpose of rebutting the many statements recently made by members of Parliament and the builders in different parts of the New Zealand to the effect that New Zealand timbers—and in particular kauri—could be purchased in Australia at a cheaper rate than in this country.

They will, however, be of interest to sawmillers, and on this account are published here.

It would appear that the belief leading to the statements referred to has originated from the fact that Australian timber price lists in certain lines quote at 100 feet *lineal* (or running) measure, whereas the New Zealand lists (with the exception of mouldings) quote at per 100 superficial.

Take as an example, 1st Class 6 x 1 on the foregoing rimu lists—this would be quoted in Australia at 19s. 6d. per 100 (lineal) against the Rangitiket price 21s. 6d. per 100 super). It is quite understandable that some of our M's.P. would be sufficiently ignorant of these matters to fall into so simple an error as to take the two prices to relate to the same quantity, but there is no excuse whatever for builders or anyone connected with the trade or having a knowledge of timber measurements to make such a glaring mistake. actually been stated that kauri could be bought in Melbourne and shipped back to New Zealand and, after paying the freight and charges both ways, be sold in New Zealand cheaper than the New Zealand sawmillers' lists. It would be entertaining were some builder or M.P. still holding this opinion to try the experiment.

## New Zealand Timber Imports, 1901-1921.

## SAWN UNDRESSED.

|       |      | DA W.N     | CINDRESS. | נענו.  |                 |  |
|-------|------|------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|--|
| Year. |      | Quantity.  | Value.    | Duty.  | Custon<br>at po | leclared<br>as yalne<br>sint of<br>ent per<br>o. feet. |
|       |      | •          | £         | £      | 5.              | d.   |
| 1001  |      | 5,661,622  | 43,617    | 5,662  | 15              | 4.90   |
| 1902  |      | 7,054,373  | 65,154    | 5,449  | 18              | 5.65   |
| 1903  | ,    | 10,658,068 | 90,582    | 9,714  | 17              | 0.00   |
| 1904  | .·   | 12,101,602 | 92,433    | 11,328 | 15              | 3.25   |
| 1905  |      | 11,054,953 | 77,953    | 10,398 | 14              | 1.30   |
| 1906  |      | 14,024,285 | 108,529   | 11,958 | 15              | 5.75   |
| 1907  |      | 16,162,628 | 136,702   | 12,457 | 16              | II.OC  |
| 1908  |      | 37,150,798 | 255,436   | 31,521 | 13              | 9-10   |
| 1909  |      | 24,583,956 | 168,282   | 23,252 | 13              | 8.25   |
| 1910  |      | 17,492,515 | 141,637   | 15,094 | 16              | 2.35   |
| 1911  |      | 29,598,466 | 216,953   | 26,577 | 14              | 5.70   |
| 1912  |      | 17,028,558 | 149,804   | 15,103 | 17              | 7.20   |
| 1913  |      | 32,484,552 | 302,979   | 27,600 | 18              | 7.80   |
| 1914  |      | 29,998,965 | 255,684   | 25,419 | 17              | 0.55   |
| 1915  |      | 18,526,506 | 170,308   | 15,675 | 18              | 4.70   |
| 1916  |      | 15,049,715 | 107,687   | 14,678 | 14              | 4.50   |
| 1917  |      | 9,408,836  | 90,548    | 7,637  | 19              | 3.10   |
| 1918  |      | 7,219,847  | 92,069    | 6,218  | 25              | б.00   |
| 1919  |      | 6,648,869  | 104,721   | 5,920  | 31              | 6.00   |
| 1920  |      | 19,671,108 | 433,413   |        | 44              | 1.00   |
| 1921  |      |            |           |        |                 |  |
| (9 mt | ths) | 22,113,574 | 345,706   |        | 31              | 3.25   |
|       |      |            |           |        |                 |  |

Hewn for 9 months to end of September—

4,030,778ft. value £77,953.

1921.

10,069,033ft, value £197,820.

Sawn for g months to end of September—

14,124,026ft. value £311,480.

£2.2 per 100ft.

1921.

22,113,574ft. value £345,706.

£1.56 per 100ft.

## ROUGH SAWN.

Imported ten months ended October 31st, 1921. Oregon—

|                     | Canada.     |           |                   |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| S. ft.<br>4,086,856 | £<br>41,115 | s.        | 100<br>d.<br>1.50 |
|                     | U.S.A.      |           |                   |
| S. ft.              | £           | per<br>s. | 100<br>d.         |
| 6,844,271           | 71,462      | 20        | 10.6              |