# DOMINICAN CONVENT, DUNEDIN.

DURING the Holidays (which will extend to the 2nd of Feburary) it is requested that all communications with the above Convent may be addressed to the Bishop's Palace, Rattray street or to the Priory, Waikari.



#### BY SPECIAL AUTHORITY

Under the Patrovage of His Honor the Superintendent, the Mayor of Dunedin, and the People of Otago.

## GRAND ART EXHIBITION.

## HAY & CO.'S THIRD ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION OF WORKS OF ART.

MESSRS. W. E. HAY & CO. (late Howell and Hay), in holding this, their Third Annual Art Union in Dunedin, would respectfully request the support of all classes, if only from the fact that they claim for this distribution of works of art that there is actual bond fide value given in their prizes for the amount set against

The general satisfaction we have given in previous years in is some guarantee that we shall continue to deserve the support so freely accorded to us in these undertakings: but to all we say "Come and see for yourselves!"

The prizes consists of richly framed pictures, after the most eminent ancient and modern painters, comprising: Oleographs, chromolithographs, engravings, photographs, autotypes, tinted-lithographs, and prints in substantial ornamental, gilt, maple, rosewood, walnut, and other frames.

350 prizes of the value of 6225 in 1 200 tilete to 5 and

and other frames.

350 prizes of the value of £325, in 1,300 tickets at 5s each.

The drawing will be conducted on the strict art union principle by a committe of management chosen by, and from the ticket-holders present at the time of drawing, of which due notice will be given. To take place on or about the end of December.

Prizes on view, and catalogues on application, next the Athenaum, Octagon, Dunedin from 10 a.m. to 10 p.m.

Remember—Admission Free!!

## ST. PATRICK'S SCHOOL, NASEBY.

WANTED, CATHOLIC TEACHER for the above School. Applications, with testimonials, &c., to be forwarded immediately to the Rev. President, E. Royer.

## TESTIMONIAL TO THE VICAR-GENERAL.

<del>+</del>									
THE following sums have been added to above since our last issue:-									
Per Mrs Fis					Mr Copeland				0
Mr Wain		Ö	10	6					_
A Friend, J.M. "F"		Ó	10	6	Total		2	14	6
· · ·		Ō	$\mathbf{\tilde{2}}$	6		St.	_		•
		ŏ		ŏ	Bathans		0	5	0
		ŏ	_	6	Mrs Fitzgerald			10	ŏ
		ŏ		6	bits Piczgoraid	•••			_
MIS HOSIOICE	••	U	~		Total		Δ	15	-0
		D.	- B	r., T	. CARROLL.	•-•	•	TO	U
TO CO 11		re	er n	i. T	. CARROLL.		_		`~
	٠.				***		_	10	0
Bernard Cunningham	1				***		0	10	0
Mary Macnamara					***		0	10	0
Bridget Green					+		0	10	0
S									
					Total		2	0	0
h									

## CANVASSER WANTED.

THOROUGHLY EXPERIENCED CANVASSER WANTED for the TABLET. Written applications, stating reference and terms, to be forwarded to the Secretary, Stafford street.

#### Aew Tablet. Zealand

FIAT JUSTITIA.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 16, 1875.

## THE 'OTAGO GUARDIAN.'

This Journal, in its issue on the 6th inst., says many things in reference to us and others, which are not true, and for which its Editor has not condescended to adduce even the shadow of a proof. His readers, no doubt, attach great importance to all he has written; and not improbably regard his estimate of us as correct. But, we trust his triumph, if, indeed, such a thing exists in any shape, will be short-lived; and that honest men, even among his own supporters, will demand the production of evidence before they finally make up their minds that the New Zealand TABLET is painfully conspicuous amongst its compeers for

which it displays against those who honestly differ from itself. "The two points," continues our contemporary, "for which it is most noticeable, are its fierce antagonism to Freemasonry, and its bitter denunciations of all and. sundry whose opinions do not happen to harmonise in all respects with its own."

Our answer is, that antagonism to Freemasonry, so far from being a proof of "illiberality of sentiment," and of an "aggressive feeling," affords a strong argument of our being neither illiberal nor aggressive. Our hostility to Freemasonry arises from our detestation of tyranny and aggression. Freemasonry is the most tyrannical and aggressive of societies. Without going further, the fearful oaths imposed by this society more than sufficiently prove its tyranny; and its never ceasing assaults on the Church cetallick beyond the possibility of a doubt that it is approximately approximately and the possibility of a doubt that it is approximately and the possibility of a doubt that it is approximately approximately approximately approximately approximately and the possibility of a doubt that it is approximately establish, beyond the possibility of a doubt, that it is essentially and fundamentally aggressive.

But the 'Guardian' charges us with giving currency to "absurd and monstrous misstatements, and pledging our reputation to the authenticity of spurious Masonic oaths, binding the fraternity to compass the subversion of the Christian faith, and the overthrowal of monarchial institutions," and thinks this an adequate reply to our serious charges against Freemasonry, and an overwhelming proof of our illiberality and aggressive spirit. The fact is, however, that we have not made any misstatement whatever, and have not published anything spurious in reference 'o Freemasonry. In all our writings as to this society, we have always been most careful to give our authority for every statement made by us. We have given the names of the authors from whom we quoted, most accurately; and and also the chapter, page, &c., &c. These authorities have also been, for the most part, high Masonic authorities, such, for example, as Cours philosophique et interprétatif des Initiations anciennes et mordernes, and l'Orthodoxie Magonnique, both written by Brother \*\*\* RAGON. whom the French Masons have styled The Sacred Author of Freemasonry; Eludes Historiques et Philosophiques sur les trois grades de la Maconnerie Sumbolique, by Prother \* REPLEME grades de la Maçonnerie Symbolique, by Brother \*\* REDARES; Le Monde Maçonnique. We have also quoted from the works of Monsignor DE SEGUR and DE SAINT-ALBIN, who are most careful to prove their statements from official documents and treatises, written by Freemasons, which are recognised as authentic by the Freemason Society.

The Editor of the 'Guardian' says, "It is rather improbable that a society to which Kings and Princes account it an honor to belong, should entertain the atrocious sentiments so freely and so falsely accribed to it by the 'Tablet,'" and he fancies that this disproves all we have said. The Editor of the 'Guardian' is to be pitied for his Why, the fact is, these Kings and Princes are simplicity. mere dupes—phantoms, as they are called in the circular drawn up by the Chief Illuminated Masons, after the Masonic Congress, which had been held at Wilhelmshade. And Brother Francis \*\* Favre, Essai Historique et Philosophique, p. CII., says that Princes have decreed to themselves the title of its protectors and that Erromesoner will selves the title of its protectors, and that Freemasonry will accord to them freely the title of Grand Masters, but that it will remain fermer—closed against its Grand Masters and protectors: that their vanity may be satisfied, but that the secrets of Freemasonry shall never be communicated to them. There has been no misstatement made by us, and we have published nothing spurious. Throughout our controversy with the 'Guardian,' and in all we have written on the subject of Freemasonry, we have not set

down anything untrue, or even exaggerated. It would have been well had the 'Otago Guardian' followed this good example; but, even in the very leaderwith which we are just now concerned, there is more than one instance of carelessness, or bad faith, or recklessness. For example, the 'Guardian' says, "And the mere circumstance that Pro Novo (himself at one time a member of the craft.)."—What will every hoest, caucid ma think of Now, for the third time, the 'Otago Guardian' has repeated this calumny. On two previous occasions we disproved this statement of the 'Guardian,' on a third, we give the denial of Pio Nono himself, and yet the 'Guardian' repeats this lie, which was first spread abroad by MAZZINI in 1847, and which has been denied and disproved a thousand times since, as if there had never been a denial or disproval. Is there any language expressive and strong enough to adequately characterise and denounce such conduct as this? The 'Guardian' says we have displayed induct as this? The 'Guardian' says we have displayed in-tolerance. In one sense, we plead guilty to this charge. its illiberality of sentiment, and for the aggressive feeling We are intolerant of a lie, and shall continue to be so;