LATEST POPULAR SONG ALBUMS

DORIS DAY SONG FOLIO (cont. Love Me or Leave Me, Whatever Will Be, Will Be, Mister Taptoe, etc.) Price 4/-ALBERT'S CALYPSO ALBUM (cont. Rum and Coca-Cola, No Can Do. Minnie from Trinidad, etc.) Price 4/-THE PLATTERS PRESENT (The Great Pretender, The Magic Touch, Heaven on Earth, etc.) Price 4/-MORRIS POPULAR SONG HITS FOLIO (cont. Canadian Sunset, Ivory Tower, Bora to be With You, etc.) FOLIO Cont. Canadian Sunset, Ivory Tower, Born to be With You, etc., Price 4/SO IT'S CALYPSOS YOU WANT (cont. Magnolia Brown, Mary Ann, Planting Rice, etc.) - Price 4/LOVE ME TENDER SONG FOLIO (cont. Love Me Tender, Poor Boy, Let Me, etc.) - Price 4/CHAPPELL'S ROCK 'N ROLL SONG ALBUM (cont. Rockin' Through the Rye, Hot Dog Buddy Buddy, Choo Choo Ch'Boogie - Price 3/6
GREAT SONGS BY HOAGY CARMICHAEL (cont. Blue Orchids, Two Sleepy People, In the Cool, Cool of the Evening, etc.) - Price 4/SO IT'S WALTZES YOU WANT (cont. Mockin' Bird Hill, You Will Never Grow Old, Poor Whip-Poor-Will, etc.) - Price 3/6
ALLAN'S SONG HIT FOLIO No. 8 (cont. Black Hills of Dakota, Deadwood Stage, Secret Love, etc.) - Price 4/6
JOHNNIE RAY SONG FOLIO (cont. Somebody Stole My Gal, All of Me, Walkin' My Baby Back Home, etc.)

Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch, Dunedin, Whangarei, Hamilton, Palmerston North, Nelson, Timaru, Oamaru, invercargill.

Film Reviews, by Jno.

OMAN POSSESSED

(Bryna Productions-M.G.M.)

R: 16 and over only.

"CLIZABETH, Beth, Betsy, and Bess, they all went together to find a bird's nest . . ." Most of us, I suppose, remember the old riddle, and its answer: How they found a nest with four eggs in it and each took one, and there were three left because, of course, Elizabeth and Co. were all one and the same person.

That is the theme which Shirley Jackson began with when she wrote The Bird's Nest-the novel from which Lizzie is adapted-and the impact of the story derives in part at least from the shocking contrast between the innocence of the childish conundrum and the horrific variations which the author composed on it. For this is the story of multiple schizophrenic, Elizabeth Richmond, under whose timid and somewhat gauche exterior lie, like the skins of an onion, three other divergent and conflicting personalities. At least, Shirley Jackson gave her three others, to match the nursery riddle. The screen-play—possibly out of consideration for Eleanor Parker, who has the principal role (or roles)—contents itself with two alter egos, Lizzie and Beth.

Perhaps I should admit right now, before the more muscular experts start heaving halfbricks at my receding hairline, that I am aware I have used terms loosely. Elizabeth might better be called a disintegrated personality and, whatever she is, I suppose Lizzie is no ego, alter or otherwise. In fact, it would be

a good deal easier to discuss the case of Elizabeth in terms older than those of psychology. You could call her a woman possessed, and the film a study of exorcism. Lizzie, an amoral baggage addicted to going on the bash nights, and reducing Elizabeth to an aching bundle of nerves the morning after, is a demon who can be called up only when her mortal tenement is under deep hypnosis. Beth, the balanced personality Eliza-beth might be, lies closer to the surface, but appears to be losing ground



ELEANOR PARKER Sisters under the skin

to Lizzie. Lizzie, when she can get

DOCTOR AT LARGE (Rank-Betty Box)

BAROMETER

"out," writes poison-pen letters to Eliza-

beth and these drive Elizabeth closer

It is, in fact, all horribly complicated

-and I can't say that I found the film

convincing, or the novel either (in spite

of Shirley Jackson's power in narrative

and characterisation). Dissociated frag-

ments of personality-and I have an ex-

pert's word for it-don't pop in and out like so many jack-in-the-boxes, however useful it would be for film-makers or

novelists to have it so. (Incidentally,

the same authority regarded Hitchcock's

Strangers on a Train as the best film

cessful film of the week, though it tries

the hardest. Miss Parker copes well with

the haunted Elizabeth, and is her own

pleasant self as Beth; but she does not

really get inside Lizzie, and I can hardly blame her. Richard Boone plays

the psychologist and the director is

Hugo Haas (who also gave himself the

part of Elizabeth's elderly next-door

neighbour). As you may have gathered, I was at least not bored. But I wouldn't recommend it to Aunt Daisy, She'd call

Lizzie, in fact, is not the most suc-

study of schizophrenia he had seen.)

FAIR: "Doctor at Large."
MAINLY FAIR: "Lizzie."

DULL: "Lazy Lena."

to breaking-point.

G Cert.

F Lizzie overreached itself and fell short of the mark, Doctor at Large, coasting cheerfully along in the wake of Doctor in the House and Doctor at Sea and not aiming higher than the average capping show (well, not much higher), achieves all that it could reasonably be expected to do. It keeps one laughing. I should confess that I saw neither of the two earlier instalments of this saga, both of which turned up when I was off duty, though I don't think that had anything to do with my enjoyment of the latest one. I had dipped into all three, as they appeared in print, and should, I suppose, have been slightly inclined to boredom at this stage. But I wasn't. James Robertson Justice roars delightfully, Donald Sinden is a good deal more happy here than he was hunting tigers a fortnight ago, and Dirk Bogarde is, I suppose, the incarnation of every pony-tailed popsy's romantic dreams. (Speaking personally, I wish his suits weren't cut so sharp.) And the best of the book (including the hilarious interlude with little Eva and the stethoscope) appears to have been preserved. But it seems a howling injustice that doctors should get so much more fun out of life than the rest of us.

MIND THAT CHILD

MOTOR DRIVERS-YOUNG LIVES ARE IN YOUR HANDS

Transport Department



LAZY LENA

(Monark Films)

G Cert

HAVE no reason to doubt that Lazy Lena could be uproariously funny to a Swede, but I reach that conclusion widdershins, as it were. It's billed as a comedy, there is an unconscionable amount of Swedish dialogue in it, and I didn't find it funny. Perhaps that is being too harsh. There were odd moments when there was a little simple clowning, enough to crack a faint smile -though one of the best of these was a parody on Rostand. But, to be quite blunt, this kind of comedy (where at least 75 per cent of the fun resides in the dialogue) is not suitable for export with sub-titles only. Well-dubbed dialogue should be a minimum require-

N.Z. LISTENER, OCTOBER 4, 1957.