$\begin{array}{ccc} & 1934. \\ {\rm N \; E \; W } & {\rm Z \; E \; A \; L \; A \; N \; D.} \end{array}$

PRISONS DEPARTMENT:

PRISONS BOARD

(ANNUAL REPORT OF) FOR 1933.

Presented to both Houses of the General Assembly by Command of His Excellency.

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.

Hon. Mr. Justice Reed, C.B.E. (President); Sir Donald McGavin, Kt., C.M.G., D.S.O., M.D. (Lond.), F.R.C.S.; D. G. A. Cooper, Esq., O.B.E.; Hon. John Alexander, M.L.C., C.M.G.; Theo. G. Gray, Esq., M.B., M.P.C.; Mrs. C. A. Fraer; and B. L. Dallard, Esq., Controller-General of Prisons.

Sir,—

I have the honour to forward herewith the report of the Prisons Board for the year 1933.

I have, &c.,

The Hon. the Minister of Justice.

J. R. Reed, J., President.

REPORT OF THE PRISONS BOARD

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31ST DECEEBER, 1933.

The Board has to report that during the year it visited each of the prisons, prison camps, and Borstal institutions in the Dominion. It dealt with a total of 1,639 cases at fifteen meetings held at the various institutions.

The following summary gives details of the cases considered and the decisions arrived at :-

$Cases\ dealt\ with.$		Board's Decisions.	
Persons undergoing Borstal detention	581	Recommended for release on probation	580
Persons sentenced to reformative detention	562	Deferred for further consideration	945
Persons sentenced to hard labour	392	Petitions declined	64
Habitual criminals	61	Recommended for discharge	21
Habitual offenders	2	Discharged from probation	29
Habitual criminals for remission of head		Recommended remission of head sentence	
sentence		Modification of terms of probation	
Probationers under Crimes Amendment	8		
Act			
Probationers under Offenders Probation	33		
Act			
	1,639		1,639

Reviewing the operations of the Board briefly, the results on the whole may be regarded as very satisfactory. The number of cases considered by the Board shows a steady increase yearly, due chiefly to the Courts recognizing the advantage of substantial sentences of Borstal detention and reformative detention over short sentences of imprisonment, as a corrective. The statistics show that the percentage of those who respond and evidently made good is well maintained.

The Board observes that the prisoners who have been dealt with under the system of reformative detention are, of course, less prone again to offend than those who have been declared habitual criminals, but it is nevertheless a source of gratification that a fair proportion of the latter have proved themselves sufficiently trustworthy once more to take and to keep their places among their fellow-citizens. It is to be remembered that they are often heirs to criminal tendencies that have in many cases been aggravated by the surroundings of their youth; to discard this heritage and become useful citizens is no easy task.