1915. NEW ZEALAND.

NATIVE LAND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT ACT, 1913:

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON PETITION No. 249/13, OF KATARINA RENDALL, RELATIVE TO MAWHERA BLOCK (SUCCESSION TO THE INTEREST THEREIN OF HAKIAHA TE HORO, DECEASED).

Laid on the Table of the House of Representatives pursuant to Act.

Sir,— Native Land Court (Chief Judge's Office), 5th May, 1915.

I have the honour to enclose herewith, pursuant to section 2 of the Native Land Claims Adjustment Act, 1913, the report of the Native Land Court on the petition numbered 7 in the schedule to that Act, which was duly referred by me to the Court for hearing, and duly heard by the said Court.

I have the honour to recommend that legislation be passed authorizing me to amend the title to conform to the report.

JACKSON PALMER,

Chief Judge, Native Land Court.

M. GILFEDDER, Judge.

The Hon. the Native Minister, Wellington.

In the Native Land Court of New Zealand, South Island District.—In the matter of the Mawhera Block and succession to the interest therein of Hakiaha te Horo (deceased); and in the matter of section 2 of the Native Land Claims Adjustment Act, 1913, and a reference thereunder by the Chief Judge for inquiry and report on the claims and allegations of one Katarina Rendall contained in Petition No. 248 of 1913.

To the Chief Judge, Native Land Court, Wellington.

Dated at Kaiapoi, this 24th day of April, 1915.

The Native Land Court sitting at Kaiapoi on the 18th and 23rd days of November, 1914, inquired into the merits of the above petition. The petitioner, Katarina Rendall, and her daughter, Ruiha Korako, gave evidence and a whakapapa showing their relationship to Hakiaha te Horo (deceased), while one Thomas Eustace Green vouched for the correctness of such whakapapa. It appears that the deceased Hakiaha had a brother and two sisters. The brother died without issue, but each sister left issue. The children of one sister were left out of the order of succession made the 19th October, 1886, while one Henare Meihana was brought in, who seems to have had no right to inclusion. Hohepa te Raki and Ria Maru, as children of one sister, had a right to half the interest of the deceased. Ria has died intestate without issue, but Hohepa has left issue. The petitioner and her people are children and grandchildren of the other sister, and should get half of Hakiaha's interest.

I would therefore recommend that the order of the 19th October, 1886, be varied, and that the following should take—

0					
Tiemi te Raki, m.	• • •			$i^{\frac{1}{2}}$	As children of Hohepa
Te Ipu te Raki, m.				$egin{array}{c} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & $	te Raki and grand-
Paoa te Raki, m				$\frac{1}{12}$	children of Hinewera.
Ria te Raki, f				12	one sister of Hakiaha
Purua te Raki, f.	•••			1 2	te Horo.
Hakiaha te Raki, m.				$\frac{1}{12}$	te 110ro.
Katherine Rendall, f.				101	
Ellen Bradshaw, f.	•••			$\frac{10}{10}$	
Amy Rehe, f		• • •	• • •	10	
Ripeka Flutey, f	• • •	•••	• • •	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{20} \\ \frac{1}{20} \end{bmatrix}$	
Hera Paaka, f	•••	***	•••	1 1	As children and grand-
				उठ्	children of Hinekino,
Te Hiwera Meihana, m.	• • •			$\frac{1}{30}$	the other sister of
Te Whareraki Meihana, m.	• • •			30	Hakiaha te Horo.
Patrick Lahee, m.				40	Hakimia ic 11(10.
Tieke Lahee, m				$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{40} \\ \frac{1}{40} \end{array}$	
Mary Lahee, f				4 ¹ 0	
Kuini Lahee, f				$\frac{1}{40}$	
				- 0	

Approximate Cost of Paper.—Preparation, not given; printing (650 copies), £1.