Thirty-one open sittings of the Commission were held, in addition to numerous Occupations committee meetings. In all 270 witnesses were examined (on oath or affirmation). of witnesses. and their occupations were as follows:—

Accountants 3	Contractor 1	Meat company managers 2
Agricultural-implement	Dairymen 3	Merchants 7
makers 2	Dairy company manager 2	Manager (acting), shipping
Apiarist 1	Dentist 1	company 1
Architects 2	Doctor of medicine 2	Printer
Auctioneers 4	Domestic duties 5	Produce-merchants3
Bakers 4	Drapers 6	Restaurateur 1
Bank clerk 1	Educationists 5	Saddler 1
Boardinghouse-keeper 1	Engineers 4	Sawmiller 1
Boot-machinist 1	Farmers 23	Secretary, Farmers' Union 2
Boot-manufacturers 6	Fishermen 6	Secretary, A.M.P. Society 1
Boot-retailers 5	Fishmongers 6	Secretary, workers' unions 16
Boot-trade worker 1	Flourmillers 5	Secretary, Coal Fund 1
Brickmaker 1	Fruiterers 8	Secretary, shipping company 1
Builders 4	Furniture-dealers 8	Secretary, Master Grocers'
Builders 4 Butchers11	Gardeners 2	Association 1
Candle-manufacturers 2	Gas company managers, &c. 3	Shipping agent 1
Caretaker 1	Grocers 11	Soap-manufacturer 1
Carrier 1 Carpenters 4	Hardware-merchant 1	Soap-manufacturer 1 Stock-dealer 1
Carpenters 4	Hollow-ware 2	Tea-merchant 1
Civil servants 18	Journalists 8	Temperance advocates 2
Clerks 3	Labourers 5	Timber-merchants 5
Clothing-manufacturers 6	Land agents 9	Tobacconists 2
Coal-merchants 10		
		Control of the Contro

In addition, several written statements were received from medical practitioners, Medical who gave their opinions on definite questions put before them by the Commission. statements. These questions and statements are printed after the evidence.

The sittings at the centres visited were held on the following dates: Dunedin, Date of 4th to 8th June; Christchurch, 10th to 18th June; Auckland, 20th to 29th June; sittings in chief towns. Wellington, 2nd to 11th July.

We would like to place on record the difficulties of getting reliable evidence Difficulty of from certain witnesses on matters within the scope of the Commission. valuable evidence was freely tendered by most of the witnesses who appeared before evidence. us, there was, on the other hand, a reluctance on the part of some to disclose even in confidence information exclusively in their possession, which would have been of the utmost service to the Commission in framing its report. We would particularly draw attention to the fact that the Commission was met by a point-blank refusal on the part of the Merchants' Association of New Zealand to give any evidence

Whilst securing

whatever.

Finally, your Commissioners would say that whatever shortcomings there may Sources of be in the Report are largely due to the wide range of the investigation, the paucity evidence of statistical information relevant to the questions, the short time allowed us for the satisfactory. inquiry, and the insufficient powers conferred upon us by the Commission of Inquiry Act, 1908.