The following statement shows the disposal of the total funds (including those of the central bodies) as on the 31st December, 1911:—

Funds.				Assets.				
			£		***************************************		£	
Sick and Funeral Funds			1,285,032	Investments at interest			1,198,648	
Surplus Appropriation Funds, &c			48,967	Value of land and buildings			168,040	
Management Funds, goods, &c.			72,590	Cash not bearing interest			49,148	
Widow and Orphans' Funds			11,989	Value of goods			13,292	
Distress, Benevolent Funds, &c.			22,775	Other assets			10,763	
				Owing by Management Fund			1,462	
Total	••	:	£1,441,353	Total	•• ",		£1,441,353	

The next table shows the number of members of friendly societies, the amount of their accumulated capital, and the average capital per member, in each of the Australian States and in the Dominion of New Zealand, according to the latest received statistics, arranged in order of membership:—

State or	ion.		Date of Re	turn.	Number of Lodges.	Number of Members.	Amount of Funds.	Capital per Member	
New South Wales				31st Decemb	er. 1910	1,681	151,401	£ 1,437,797	£ s. d
Victoria			• •	"	1910	1,475	142,275	2,122,602	14 18
New Zealand			• •	, ,	1910	609	68,006	1,367,282	20 2
South Australia				,,	1906	506	52,165	743,795	14 5
Quee n sland				,,	1910	464	42,246	546,430	12 18
asmania				,,	1910	173	21,063	198,079	9 8
Vestern Australia				,,	1910	262	16,397	163,669	9 19

I also add a table setting out the proportion of members of friendly societies to the general population in Australasia. In this comparison with the principal States, New Zealand shows a low rate, notwithstanding a slightly higher percentage of population between the ages of fifteen and sixty-five. The inference would appear to be that a massed population in one large city, as in the Australian States, is more favourable for the operations of friendly societies than where the people are better distributed, as in this Dominion. Further data, however, is necessary before arriving at any conclusion on this interesting point.

8	state or	r Dominion.		Percentage of Friendly Society Members to Population.	Percentage of Population at Ages 15 to 65.		
Victoria					10.93	$60 \cdot 42$	
New South Wales					9.21	60.62	
New Zealand				i	6.78	62.56	
South Australia '	•				14.08	60.30	
Queensland					7.05	60.79	
Γasmania				1	10.87	58.81	
Western Australia					5.92	$69 \cdot 26$	

SICKNESS.

The number of members sick during 1911 was 11,607, equal to 17.36 per 100 members at risk. The sickness experienced during 1911 was 101,209 weeks 2 days, equal to 8 weeks 4 days per sick member, and 1 week 3 days for each member at risk.

Owing in great measure to differences in the proportion of members at the several ages, the averages in the several districts differ considerably, also in the several societies or orders. The figures for the five large orders for 1911 are found to be as follows:—

						Sickness p	er Member.	Ratio (per Cent.) to Total Sickness.		
	Order.				Percentage Sick.	Sick.	At Risk.	First 6 Months.	Second 6 Months.	After 12 Months.
					-	Weeks.	Weeks.			
M.U.I.O.O.F.					17.84	10.29	1.84	46	8	46
I.O.O.F.					15.09	6.13	0.92	73	9	18
A.O.F.					17.97	9.49	1.70	50	9	41
TT A () D					17.31	6.21	1.07	70	8	22
LOB					14.96	7.76	1.16	61	7	32
	All soc	ieties		• • •	17:36	8.72	1.51	53	8	39

The percentage of members sick for the year 1911 was therefore highest in the A.O.F., while the average sickness per member at risk was highest in the M.U.I.O.O.F. and lowest in the I.O.O.F. In 1910 the percentage of members sick was 18.67, the average sickness per member sick was 8.23 weeks, and the average sickness per member at risk 1.54 weeks.