in the matter. He told us quite frankly that, in view of the unsatisfactory conclusion of the Meikle Commission, Cabinet was sick of Commissions. I am relating now what was a private conversation, but it is probably well that I should state exactly what took place. At any rate, he told us that he thought Cabinet would not appoint a Royal Commission, and that we had better come to some arrangement without that assistance. These negotiations went on until December, and I should like to read you a letter which I then wrote to Messrs. Travers, Campbell, and Peacock, setting out the position at that date. It will give you the best indication as to what I thought, at any rate, of the position of the matter at the time. I may say that throughout these negotiations I was being constantly pressed by the other two parties interested to settle their claims, and I had some little trouble in keeping them off, as it were. This is a letter dated the 17th December, 1908, to Travers, Campbell and Peacock: "17th December, 1908.—Messrs. Travers, Campbell, and Peacock, Solicitors, Wellington.—Dear Sirs,—Re Mokau-Mohakatino Block: As arranged, we have now to state in writing the position in this matter as we understand it. Under an agreement between your clients and Mr. H. Lewis, for whom we are acting, Lewis agreed to purchase your clients' interest in this block, and to give a mortgage for the purchase-money. A transfer and mortgage were accordingly prepared by you and duly registered, so that Mr. Lewis appears upon the title as the owner of the leasehold, subject to a mortgage to your clients for the amount of the purchase-money. At about the date of Mr. Lewis's purchase from you he entered into an agreement with some Hawke's Bay people, under which he in turn agreed to sell the interest acquired from your clients, and under this agreement £700 was paid to Lewis and £4,300 deposited with Messrs. Moorhouse and Hadfield, of Wellington, solicitors, who have, we understand, given you an undertaking that they hold this amount as purchasemoney in terms of the last-mentioned agreement. Some time ago we informed you that doubts had been raised by the purchasers from Lewis as to the validity of the title Lewis had acquired from your clients, and at about the same time Joshua Jones was endeavouring to obtain a parliamentary inquiry with a view to attacking your clients' title. We then informed you that, with a view to settling all possible questions of title, we thought it could be arranged that the leases could be dealt with under the Native Lands Settlement Act, 1907, our idea being that the blocks should be reported upon by the Native Commission, consisting of the Chief Justice and Mr. Ngata, which is at present reporting upon the whole of the Native lands in the colony, and that we should endeavour to induce the Commission to recommend that the freehold of the land should be disposed of, and that out of the proceeds the Natives should first receive the value of their reversionary interest, which we understand to be about 5s. per acre; that your clients should then receive the amount owing to them, including, of course, interest to the date of payment; and that the balance should belong to Lewis, who would, of course, have to defend any proceedings Jones might take. We have done everything possible to endeavour to get the Native Commission to make a report, but, unfortunately, both members of the Commission have been ill, and owing to this and the pressure of other work they have been unable so far to deal with the matter. Unfortunately the term for which the Commission was appointed expires on 1st January next, but as several other matters remain to be dealt with, we understand that the Government proposes to extend the term for a further two months, and the Chief Justice has intimated that he would be prepared to deal with this matter some time next month. It is a pity that we were not able to get the matter on before the 1st January, because the Act to which we have referred expressly provides that the powers of the Commission under that Act expire on the 1st January. As, however, the other matters to which we have referred, and which are to be dealt with by the Commission, are also intended to be dealt with under the Act in question, the Government proposes to introduce a short Bill early in next session, extending the Commission's powers for the purposes of the Act. This Act, will, of course, be general, and will not specifically refer to the Mokau matter. In these circumstances we hope you will see your way to consent to the completion of Jones's purchase being held over until we have had a reasonable opportunity of carrying through the proposal we have outlined. We would suggest that this proposal is the most satisfactory settlement of the whole matter, since it avoids the necessity for any questions being raised between any of the parties involved as to the title to the leases, and there can be no doubt that the proceeds of the sale would be more than sufficient to pay the moneys due to your clients. It is, of course, understood that your rights against the purchasers from Lewis are not prejudiced in any way, and Mr. Lewis will be only too glad to facilitate any claim you may have against them. We would be glad if you would kindly inform us information to the results of the sale would be glad if you would kindly inform us in your clients are agreeable to wait until we can have reasonable time in which to carry out this proposal, on the understanding, of course, that the rights of all parties are not to be prejudiced in any way. We may say that the Government is prepared to facilitate the arrangement we have suggested, because it is anxious to do what it can in the way of effecting settlement of the Mokau lands; and as the Natives will for the next thirty years be receiving only a nominal rent for the land, they will be only too glad of the opportunity of selling their interest, so that there is not likely to be any material objection to the scheme we have outlined. Jones, of course, will do his best to interfere, but we have not much fear that he will succeed in doing any harm.—Yours truly, Findlay, Dalziell, and Co." That was the position as at December, 1908. Then came the Royal Commission the Native Land Commission. It inquired into this matter. I should like to say at this stage that the Commission had no jurisdiction whatever to try the question of the title to these leases. Hon. Mr. Ngata: You might state also that in the meantime I had ceased to be a member

of that Commission.

Witness: I was coming to that. I have referred to it in my notes as Sir Robert Stout and Mr. Jackson Palmer's Commission. Mr. Ngata had ceased to be a member of the Commission at the end of 1908, and Mr. Jackson Palmer, Chief Judge of the Native Land Court, was appointed to take his place. This Commission dealt with the matter in February, 1909. As I