- 15. I take it that it reads like this: "To the Board of each road district . . . if the Counties Act is not in full force . . . When the general rates actually collected by the Road Board in the road district do not exceed a total sum of £500, then a sum equal to 10s. for every £1 of such rates "?—Yes.
- 16. Now I may explain that this has governed the payment of the subsidy to the road districts

for many years?—Irrespective of paragraph 4?

17. Yes?—Well, I cannot reason in that way. It seems to me that paragraph 4 covers the

18. Then you think that, where the Counties Act is suspended and the local government is carried out by Road Boards, if the subsidies paid have exceeded £2,500, such subsidies have not been legally paid—they have been paid in excess?—I think so.

## JOHN P. DUGDALE, Inspecting Valuer, Valuation Department, examined. (No. 6.)

- 1. The Chairman.] You understand what you have been asked to supply, Mr. Dugdale !--I have a summary here, which I will leave with you. The Manukau County has about twenty-seven separate Road Boards. In the year 1907-8 the twenty-seven Road Boards received £3,252 15s. 6d. That was under the old Act. The Appropriation Act for 1908-9 enacted that the maximum should be £2,500. If in the year 1908-9 Manukau had been treated as in the previous year they would have received £3,522 16s. 1d.
- 2. Mr. Fraser.] What did they get !-£2,500. Those are the figures in connection with Manukau for the two years. There was a reference also, I understand, to Ashley County. Ashley County for the year 1907-8 received £2,804 ls. 9d. If they had been in the same position for the year 1908-9 they would have got £2,957 11s. 10d.

3. Mr. Laurenson.] How many Road Boards are there in Ashley!-Eight. In that year,

1908-9, they received the £2,500.

4. The Chairman.] That is your statement?—Yes. I can leave the details showing how the

figures are made up. [Document handed in.\*]

- 5. Right Hon. Sir J. G. Ward.] Can you tell the Committee what amount the Road Boards in the district of Manukau received for the year prior to 1907-8?—No, I have not got the figures here. I could get them from our records.
- 6. Mr. Massey.] Is not the County of Eden in the same position as Ashley and Manukau?—Yes,
- 7. You have not prepared the figures?—No, but I know what the increase would be, assuming you placed it in the same position as it was in the year before last.

* Manukau County.					
Part of County: Road Board.	Rates collected 1907–8.	Subsidy.	Reduced to Maximum payable.	Advance.	Balance, Amount pay- able to Road Board.
Particulars	of Payment of	Subsidy to Ro	ad Boards, 190	7-8.	
	£ s. d.		£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d.
Awhitu ( $1\frac{3}{4}$ d. U.V. reduced to $\frac{3}{4}$ d. C.V.)	155 5 2	76 12 7		55 2 6	21 10 1
Drury $(\frac{3}{4}d.)$	225 7 3	112 13 7		67 10 0	45 3 7
East Tamaki $(\frac{1}{2}d.)$	348 18 7	174 9 3		130 10 0	43 19 3
Howick Township ( $\frac{3}{4}$ d.)	77 11 4	38 15 8		28 10 0	10 5 8
Hunua $(2\frac{3}{4}d. \text{ U.V. reduced to} \frac{3}{4}d. \text{ C.V.})$	130 1 6	65 0 9	•••	••	65 0 9
Karaka (¾d.)	240 14 7	120 7 3			120 7 3
Mangare $(\frac{1}{2}d.)$	670 13 11	250 0 0		••	250 0 0
Manurewa $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{d}.)$	114 5 9	57 2 10		$43 \ 2 \ 6$	14 0 4
Maraetai (1d. U.V. less than $\frac{3}{4}$ d. C.V.)	55 10 11	27 15 5	••	20 5 0	7 10 5
Mauku (3d. and 1d. reduced to 3d.)	247 14 9	123 17 4		• •	123 17 4
Maungatawhiri (½d.)	76 13 6	38 6 9			38 6 9
Mercer $(\frac{3}{4}d.)$	57 16 0	28 18 0			28 18 0
Oraheke (1d. reduced to \(\frac{3}{4}\)d.)	186 10 3	93 5 1			93 5 1
Otahuhu (1d. reduced to $\frac{3}{4}$ d.)	471 15 4	235 17 8			235 17 8
Pakuranga (1d. reduced to \(\frac{3}{4}\)d.)	258 15 10	129 7 11		95 12 6	33 15 5
Papakura ( $1\frac{1}{2}$ d. U.V. reduced to $\frac{3}{4}$ d. C.V.)	209 2 10	104 11 5	••	<b>82</b> 10 0	22 1 5
Paparata $(\frac{3}{4}d.)$	179 4 10	89 12 5			89 12 5
Paparoa $(\frac{3}{4}d.)$	116 16 11	58 8 5		$43 \ 2 \ 6$	15 5 11
Papatoitoi ( <sup>9</sup> / <sub>17</sub> d.)	. 185 11 2	92 15 7		• • •	92 15 7
Pokeno (1d. reduced to $\frac{3}{4}$ d.)	135 4 3	67 12 1		48 7 6	19 4 7
Pollock Settlement (1d. reduced to \$\frac{1}{3}d.)	52 14 9	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c }\hline 26 & 7 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$		••	26 7 4
Pukekohe East (1d. reduced to 3d.)	502 0 1	<b>25</b> 0 0 0			<b>25</b> 0 0 0
Pukekohe West $(\frac{3}{4}d.)$	535 11 6	250 0 0			250 0 0
Turanga $(\frac{3}{4}d.)$	120 3 1	60 1 6		<b>45</b> 0 0	15 1 6
Wairoa ( $1\frac{1}{2}$ d. reduced to $\frac{3}{4}$ d.)	<b>42</b> 0 <b>5</b> 10	210 2 11		<b>157 1</b> 0 0	52 12 11
Waipipi $(\frac{3}{4}d.)$	611 2 5	250 0 0		187 10 0	<b>62</b> 10 0
Waiuku $(\frac{7}{8}d. \text{ reduced to } \frac{3}{4}d.)$	441 7 6	220 13 9		• •	220 13 9
	6,826 19 10	3,252 15 6		1,004 12 6	2,248 3 0