

16. Then, perhaps that is a test of your memory?—You turned to me, in the evidence in connection with the certificate of title, and asked me if that was the first time that I knew Mr. Macdonald was to get the certificate of title.

17. You still suggest, notwithstanding what has been given in evidence here, that that letter of the 5th September was written because I saw you?—Yes, the letter to the Minister.

18. Do you remember Mr. Crawford coming with me?—I think I do.

19. On which occasion?—I think he came with you on the 2nd August, but I will not be certain.

20. That was just as important a matter as this?—Pardon me, it was a different thing altogether. I noted that you came there as a solicitor.

21. I came as trustee, but it was a far more important matter as far as money was involved?—It had nothing to do with the City Council.

22. It was a concession from the Government to a private individual: is not that a more important matter than a concession to a public body?—I do not think so.

23. Is it not a matter to be looked into more carefully?—Every single matter has to be looked into most carefully.

24. It was a concession—really more of a concession from the Government than the other?—That is a matter of opinion.

25. It was a concession of about 8 acres?—I do not know what the area is. We are still in correspondence about a further area.

26. You made a concession there?—Yes, the Government did.

27. Did I not see you first with regard to that matter of Mr. Crawford—twice in the early stages, and then once again later on, when the thing was stuck up?—That is a thing I cannot recollect. I recall the 2nd August, but I am not sure whether you did see me in October.

---