Return of Analyses at the Analytical Laboratory, Wellington, for the Year ended the 31st March, 1906.

Department or Person from whom Sample received.	Date received.			Nature of Sample.			Adulteration suspected, or for what analysed.		Result of Analysis.
	1	.905.							
Dr. Hislop	April	3	• •	Urine	••	••	Diacetic acid a	nd	None found.
Dr. Monekton	*	5		Alcohols			Methyl alcohol		"
Dr. Valintine Cordial-factory	".	$\frac{14}{26}$	••	Bread Water	• •	• •	Alum, &c General analysis		Extremely bad water; quite unfit for
Jordian-raciory	,,	20	• •	11 2001	• •	• •	Concian analysis		human consumption.
	May	8	••	"	• •	• •	"	• •	Water of fair quality.
"	"	8 8	• •	"		• •	"		Water of good quality.
, , ,	"	9	• •	, ,			,,	[	Water of poor quality.
nenata Munno	"	9 9	• •	"	• •		"		Water of good quality.
nspetr. Munro Cordial-factory	"	9	• •	"	• •	• •	" "		Water of fair quality.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	"	10	• •	"			"		,,
nspect'r Dolby nspector of	, ,,	$\frac{10}{17}$	• •	Bread	• •		Alum "		Heavily charged with organic matter. None found.
Weights and Measures	. "		•						
Health Depart-	"	<sup>17</sup> .	••	Water	• •		General analysis		Both waters of good quality.
Hokitika Bo-	, ,,	25		,,	• •	••			No. 1 of good quality, Nos. 2 and 3 slightly inferior to No. 1.
nsp. Middleton	_ "	31							Both waters of good quality.
Or. Campbell	June	12	••	Urine	• •		•	İ	No. 1, 4.76 per cent; No. 2, 2.63 per cent.
Or. McBeth	"	16	••	Dried mil	k		Poison		None found.
nspr. Munro Ir. Heycock	"	$\frac{24}{29}$	• •	Water	• •		•		Both waters of good quality. Water not of good quality.
Or. Mackin	_ "	29		Urine			Sugar		1.43 per cent.
nsp. Middleton harles Dougal	July "	4 6	• •	Water	• •		•		All of very good quality. Water of fair quality.
Or. Mackin	"	10		Urine			α .		None found.
nsp. Middleton	"	13	••	Water	• •		•	•• [ '	Water of fair quality.
Health Depart-	. "	13	• •	"	• •		"	ļ	
homas Cripps	"	14	٠.	Oatmeal		]			None found.
nspr. Brownlie   District Health	"	$\frac{21}{27}$	• •	Water Mixture	and	oint-	General analysis Atropine		Water bad quality. (a) None; (b) considerable amount.
Officer Fresh Food and		31	•••	ment Cream			Dania asid		0.25 per cent. found.
Ice Company.	" "		••	1.0	•				
Mr. Christie Or. Mackin	Λűg.	31 8	• •	Milk Urine	• •	• • •	~		None found. 1 per cent. found.
Or. T. King	"	9	• •	Biscuit			General analysis.		r por conti round.
ames Perry Or. Gibbs	Sept.	10 5	• •	Water Bacon	• •		4		Water of good quality.
Or. Gibbs	gept.	7	• •	Butter	• •	• •	Boric acid		10 grain per pound found. Found 0 09 per cent.
nsp. Gardiner	"	20	••	Water			•	••	Not a good water.
Or. Makgill nspector of	"	$\frac{26}{25}$	• •	Milk	• •	• •	Boric acid		0·12 per cent. found.
Weights and	, "		• •		•		20210 4014		- 11 por 00mm 10 m2m
Measures Or. Makgill	,,	28		,,			,,		No. 2 contains large amount, Nos. 1
		10					Th		and 3 none.
Or. De Lisle	Oct.	16 30	• •	Cream Water			Preservative General analysis		None found. Water of fair quality.
,	,,	30	••	"			"		Water of good quality.
Or. Makgill	" Nov.	30 2	• •	Milk	• •	•••	Preservatives		Water of fair quality. None found.
Or. Mackin	# ·	8	••	Urine	••	•••	Sugar		1.16 per cent. found.
Officer Health	"	16	• •	Milk	•••		Adulterants		Milk well above standard.
nspec'r Schauer	,,	30		,,			,,		,
Or. Hislop	Dec.	1	• •	Urine			Colouring-matter.		TT
Or. Gibbs Inspec'r.Brown-	"	$\frac{2}{13}$	• •	Incrustat Water	1011	• • •	Arsenic General analysis		Heavy traces found. Water of good quality.
lie District Health	,,	15					,		Water of fair quality.
Officer	"		•	,			″		
Ditto	"	18 18	• •	,,	••	••			Water of good quality. Water of very good quality.
" · · ·	"	22		"		• • •		::	Water of very good quality.
		906.						- 1	· - · · ·
* "	Jan. Feb.		• •	",	• • •	• •			Water of bad quality Water of good quality (slightly turbid).
,,	"	27		•	• •	• •	#		Water of fair quality.
"	Marc	n ソ		Miik					Milk well above standard.
				Water ·			General analysis	1	Water of good quality
 Orirua Asylum District Health	" "	6 27 30	••	Water Sewage-er Milk	filuen	ts	General analysis Watering		Water of good quality. Milk just above standard.