	OPH WILLIS—					Page
	Contended that declaration did not cover alleged breach, but related solely to Postal packets did not include Treasury vouchers	postal pa	ckets	• •	• •	10 10
	1st paragraph of declaration to be read in conjunction with remainder of clau	se			• •	10
	His ignorance of Rule 44	• •			٠.	10
	His ignorance of Rule 44 Frequency of its being broken Source of Department's information re these charges	••	• •	• •	• •	10
	Bource of Department's information re these charges	••	••	••	• •	10 10
	His own statements before Auditor-General Necessity for making these Denial of making information "public"; had simply handed it to M.H.R.	••	••		• •	10
	Denial of making information "public"; had simply handed it to M.H.R.	••	••			10
	Had done so knowing it might be used politically		••	• •	• •	10
	To Charge 2 had given qualified admission	• •	••	• •	• •	10 10
	Then he thought he was doing his duty, but now would take a somewhat diffe	rent cour	se		• •	10
Disc	cussion between Chairman and Mr. Willis					11
	IRMAN: Contents of telegram constituted its impropriety, not the actual send	ing of it	••	• •	• •	11
	VILLIS (continuing)— Telegram related to Mr. Taylor's motion for inquiry					11
	Telegram related to Mr. Taylor's motion for inquiry This inquiry necessary because honour of witness and colleagues involved	••	• •		• •	11 11
	Considered he was giving information for public good, and ignorant that he w	as thereby	y committ			
	of regulations		••		٠.	11
	Referred to length of service and record Alleged breach a technical one Its relating to Premier immaterial Meaning of word "charge," in telegram In reference to Mr. Taylor's motion: Mr. Taylor came in as a representative	• •	• •	••	• •	11
	Alleged breach a technical one	• •	• •	••	• •	11 11
	Meaning of word "charge," in telegram	••	••		• •	11
	In reference to Mr. Taylor's motion: Mr. Taylor came in as a representative	of the me	n involve	đ	••	11
	He had been in same Volunteer corps as Mr. Fisher He had seen the voucher between January-December, 1904, through Mr. La	•• .	•:	••	• •	11
	He had seen the voucher between January-December, 1904, through Mr. La	rcombe o	salling hi	s attenti	on	11
	to it	••	••		• •	11 11
	Questioned Captain Seddon's capacity for work, not rightfulness of voucher or	paymen:	t		::	11
	Suspicious that services were rendered in Wellington, but payment made in (Christchu	rch		٠.	11
	Had seen other vouchers since that had been indefensible from taxpayer's po	int of vie	w	••	٠.	11
	He gave Mr. Fisher information merely as a clue He did not consider the fact of Mr. Fisher's opposition to the Government He was an intimate friend of Mr. Fisher's He retained his rights as citizen and taxpayer	• •	• •	••	• •	11 11
	He was an intimate friend of Mr. Fisher's				• •	11
	He retained his rights as citizen and taxpayer	••	••			11
	He thought he had a periect right to supply information to a member of Pari	nament—	-a trustee	of public	3	11
	He considered himself competent to judge of Captain Seddon's capacity	••	• •	• •	• •	11
	Mr. Fisher's first source of information was not witness Witness was personally responsible for the sending of telegram; the other me	• •	• •	• •	on.	$\frac{11}{12}$
	The affidavits were prepared by Mr. Salter, of Christchurch, from information	n supplied	d by men	charged		12
	The suggestion that they should be made emanated he supposed from M	essrs. Tay	ylo r and .	Fisher, a	nd	
	they made arrangements with Mr. Salter Speaking generally, witness had been "running the show," and the other m	6.31.	. 1 2 . 1 2 .	••,	• •	12
	Speaking generally, witness had been "running the snow," and the other m	en ionow	ea in nis		• •	$\frac{12}{12}$
	He had not seen any Cabinet minutes re disclosure of information The questioning of officers' veracity had been the only motive for sending tele	egram	••			12
	He had had experience in Auckland as well as in Christchurch He was still confident, absolutely, of having seen voucher	••	••			12
	He was still confident, absolutely, of having seen voucher	••	••	• •	• •	12
	Mr. Fisher had asked for information re voucher, of which he had given with	less date a	and amou	nt		12
	Witness had supplied information obtained from record-book, which was alwa He had had correspondence with Messrs. Fisher and Taylor, but had not pres	served all	oinspecti of it	on or cler	KS	
	He was employed solely by Post Office			••	• •	12
	The latter portion of declaration would prohibit disclosure of information	re Savir	gs-Bank	deposits	to	
	outside persons	••	• •			12
	Book of rules was signed by men in most haphazard manner	• •	• •		• •	12
	Under the circumstances, he considered this imperative	••	••	••	• •	$\frac{12}{12}$
	He had had many conversations with Mr. Fisher, but had not discussed	voucher	at time	of Seddo	n-	
	Taylor case	••	• •	••		13
	The first time it was mentioned was after opening of Parliament	• •	••	••	• •	13
	Useless to mention charge to Mr. McBeth	ourree of	otion	• •	• •	13
Мr	JOYNT, counsel for the four officers	ourse or a	201011	••	• •	13 13
212 2 .	Decision re existence or non-existence of voucher	••	••	••		13
	Rose to call counsel	• •	• •	••	٠.	13
J. \	Willis (continuing)— Marting of information autoids first to Mr. Fisher					10
	Mentioned information outside first to Mr. Fisher Did not know of any grievance against the Government by Mr. Fisher	••	• •	••	• •	13 13
	Mr. Fisher had first questioned him re improper payments to Captain Seddo	n			::	13
	Query definite to this extent, not general					13
	In reply to Mr. Fisher's question he gave information re voucher	• •	• •	••		13
	He would swear that he gave Mr. Fisher amount and date He had given Mr. Fisher full particulars in writing (from memory)	••	• •	••	• •	13 13
	He had first discussed this aspect of question with his colleagues on morni	ng after i	oublicatio	n of deb	 ata	1.0
	in House	••	••	••	•••	13
	Intermittently they had previously discussed the voucher	••	••	••	• •	13
	He did not want the public to know how the information came out It was assumed that witness's name should not be mentioned by Mr. Fisher			••	••	13
	He had not mentioned his connection with Mr. Fisher to other officers; the	v were no	uise t concern	ed then	• •	13 13
	He was anxious to get their corroboration only after matter had been brough	t up in th	e House		• •	13
	All the way through he had been the leading spirit					13
	He had written out the telegram; Mr. Larcombe had seen it, Messrs. Lund	on and W	est had	not; but	all	
D	consented to it on his suggestion	••	••	••	••	14
ri(CHARD B. MORRIS, Chief Clerk, C.P.O., Christchurch— Officers concerned had worked under him; confidential nature of work p	ointed o	ut to the	m:all h	har	
	signed regulations				•••	14
	Willis: Charge No. 6 withdrawn			• •		14
w.	J. LARCOMBE—					
	Charge read	• •	• •	• •	• •	14 14
	Admitted all four charges, subject to explanation	••	• •	• •	• •	14
	Length of service			••	• •	14