13 H.-14.

Tattersall, Ada, Dunedin Street; 29th October, 1902.

Waterhouse, James William, Summer Street, Ponsonby Road; 23rd October, 1902—Duplicate of No. 5048, C.A. Rejected.

Wilson, James, Queen Street; 28th October, 1902.—Police report cannot be found in Wyndham Street. Rejected.

Waterhouse, Arthur, Summer Street.—Complainant admits is an error. Withdrawn.
Woodhall, Joseph, Karangahape Road; 5th November, 1902.—Confused identity between "Woodhall," and "Woodall." No. 15861, C.A., is Woodall.

Burns, Thomas.—No claim produced.
Kelly, Mary Ann.—No claim produced.
Langley, Jessie.—No claim produced.
Not put in through Mr. Spedding's office.
Not put in through Mr. Spedding's office.

Message Elizabeth.—Already set out under this list.

Boone, Ernest Albert, Severn Street; 27th October, 1902.—On Parnell roll, No. 397.

Harrison, Sarah, Durham Street.—No date to claim, "Reject; same as C.A., 6132."
Lydiard, Walter, Russell Street; 22nd October, 1902.—On C.A. roll, No. 8608.
duced for enrolment in Grey Lynn. "Rejected; not satisfied; form irregular."
Burns, Margaret, Surrey Street.—Complainant admits as an error. Withdrawn. Claim pro-

The only case in this long list which in any way supports a charge against Mr. King is that of Daisy Rowberry in which no satisfactory reason is given for the Registrar not being satisfied with the validity of this claim. The Registrar pleads that amid the multitude of cases he had to deal with, and the heavy work entailed upon him during this inquiry, he cannot recollect the circumstances of each particular case, and, though there is no record showing his reasons for rejection,

he has no doubt they were apparent to him at the time.

Amelia Lawson's Case.—This case was the subject of proceedings in the Magistrate's Court, on an information charging Mr. King with omitting to enrol the claimant. Mr. King then pleaded that he rejected the claim because he found upon the roll, No. 8139 "Amelia Lawson, Clarence that he rejected the claim because he found upon the roll, No. 0109 "Amena Lawson, Charence Street, tailoress," and because he inferred identity of person from similarity of name and occupation. But this inquiry has clearly shown that there was an error in the copying by the clerk in the Registrar's office. Two claims were produced signed respectively "Alice Maud Lawson, Nelson Street, tailoress," and "Amelia Lawson, Nelson Street, tailoress." They were copied and printd as "Alice Maud Lawrence, Nelson Street, tailoress, 8133," and "Amelia Lawrence, Nelson Street, tailoress, 8134." The conjunction of the two names "Alice Maud," and "Amelia" coems to place this beyond reasonable doubt. No one voted in the names of Alice Maud Lawseems to place this beyond reasonable doubt. No one voted in the names of Alice Maud Lawrence or Amelia Lawrence. The mistakes made by the complainants in the lists of names supplied by them caused a good deal of difficulty in tracing the claims and obtaining particulars.

In the cases marked "No claims produced," it was not proved that they had been lodged.

CHARGE No. 2 (CITY ROLL): That a Number of Persons entitled to vote had their Names expunged from the Roll without Notice at the Last Moment.

Numbers and Names; together with the Explanation.

4340. Entrican, Jane Jack.—See remarks infra.

12635. Ryan, John Lee.—Apparently transferred in error to Bay of Plenty on application of Joseph Lee Ryan. No Joseph Lee Ryan appeared on C.A. roll for 1900, but John Lee Ryan was taken from that roll in error.

14369. Tilsley, Ann.—Transferred to Parnell roll on application.
575. Barker, Jane.—Should be James Barker. Transferred in error, which was discovered prior to election. Official roll marked "Stet" against name, but, owing to some difficulty with Returning Officer, man was not allowed to vote. Registrar appears to have endeavoured to rectify mistake.

7761. Kerr, Mary.—This was allowed to stand over for further evidence, but none was produced. Possibly overlooked.

1076. Black, William Currie.—Transferred to Egmont on application.

5440. Grace, Martin.—Apparently mistaken identity. No evidence offered.

7676. Kelly, Mary Jane.—Mistaken identity. transfer, and wrong person erased. Claim for enrolment in Eden put in; accepted as This illustrates the danger of accepting claims for enrolment as transfers.

7929. Knowles, Charles.—Transferred to Kaipara on application.

7931. Knowles, Melina Hanson.—Transferred to Kaipara on application. 8119. Lawford, Richard Henry.—Duplicate of No. 16946, C.A. 8328. Lewis, Jane.—Duplicate of No. 19262, C.A.

8328. Lewis, Jane.—Duplicate of No. 19262, C.A.
9252. Mills, Mary Ann.—Transferred to Patea on application.
10457. McLeod, Christina.—Transferred to Eden as No. 4906. Claim for enrolment sig "Christina McLeod," put in, but apparently by a different person.
11110. O'Rourke, Mary.—Transferred to Courtenay on application.
11905. Quinton, Maud.—Proved to have been wrongly on roll. Put on G.L., and voted there.
12383. Robinson, Robert Campbell.—Marked "Stet" on City roll, but not allowed to vote.
13328. Smith, Charles V. Samuel.—Marked "Stet" on City roll, but not allowed to vote. Claim for enrolment signed

Note.—Jane Jack Entrican's Case.—This name was transferred to Waikato on application, the 30th September, 1902, signed "Jane Jack Entrican." There were two Jane Jack Entricans on C.A. roll, 1900; one of them (No. 3444) being styled "junior." The application for transfer was not signed "junior," and consequently No. 3443 was transferred. Hearing subsequently that the younger Entrican had left the Auckland District the Registrar erased her name too. The mistake arose from the manner in which the application was made out,