

The lists for Subdivisions 1-3 and 5-8 were then read out and objectors called for in each case. Various objections were made, including those by Pene Makomako and Rutene Arahī in respect of lists for Nos. 1 and 2 upon the ground that the shares were formerly equal, but in these lists they were unequal. No list was submitted for No. 4 as the Maoris had not yet agreed amongst themselves. The Court then adjourned the matter with the following minute :—

I will adjourn this case until the Natives have come to a more satisfactory arrangement outside—that is, except No. 4, which it appears will have to be settled by the Court.

(13) The proceedings were resumed on 29th April, when the lists for Subdivisions 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 were read and objections called for. No objections were made on this occasion upon the ground that the shares were unequal. The following lists were passed after individual objections had been dealt with: Nos. 2, 5, 6, 7, and 8. The list for No. 1 was passed subject to the disposal of a minor claim. The list for No. 3 was held over to be dealt with together with No. 4.

(14) On 30th April the Court proceeded to deal with the dispute as to ancestors, affecting Nos. 3 and 4. The ancestors set up were Tamahenga, Konohi, and Te Whatu. After hearing evidence the Court decided that Te Whatu was not entitled to be enrolled as an ancestor in either of these two subdivisions. Various claims in respect of Nos. 3 and 4 were then dealt with, and the lists for both subdivisions were passed on 27th May. On the final reading of the list for No. 4 Mere Kingi objected upon the ground that some of those who had sold to Seymour were included, but she subsequently withdrew the objection.

(15) Mr. Harris, one of the conductors, then brought up the matter of including additional persons in certain of the subdivisions, such persons to be included as successors to two deceased but not to take any portion of the deceased person's shares. It appears that these were persons who had not been included as beneficial owners in 1870, and it is the inclusion of these persons that is objected to by clause 6 of the petition. The minutes covering this matter are as follows :—

Mr. Harris : There are certain names to be included in No. 3. They will be included as successors to two deceased persons, but will not take any portion of the deceased person's shares.

List read—no objectors. List approved.

Mr. Harris : There are other names to be included in the same way in No. 4.

List read—objectors challenged.

Himiona te Kani : I ask to be included.

The Judge : I will not include any names except on the general request of the tribe.

Ani Mekenā who received $5\frac{1}{2}$ shares as one of five successors to Hana Puihi, agreed to give 1 share back to Ateroa Mokai and Hori Mokai.

Agreed to by the Court.

Heni Korukoru objected, but subsequently withdrew objection.

No other objectors. List passed.

Subdivision No. 5

Mr. Harris : I will read out names to be included in the same way in No. 5.

Objectors challenged.

Karaitiana Amaru : I object.

Matter arranged by Hera Muka giving up three shares to Rutene Kubukuhu and 2 others.

No other objectors. List passed.

Subdivision No. 6

List of additional names read—No objectors. List passed.

Subdivision No. 7

List of additional names read out—No objectors. List passed.