Kua whakahaua ahau kia ki penei: I runga i te mea i iti noa iho nga take i whakaaturia ki te aroaro o te Komiti, e ahei ai ratou ki te whakatau i te tikanga mo runga mo tenei pitihana, e kore te Komiti e ahei ki te tuku ripoata.

7 Akuhata, 1894.

No. 150.—Petition of Arapeta Patu, of Kawhia.

Petitioner prays for legislation to enable a rehearing to be made of a succession order to land known as the Muriwai Block, which he alleges has been wrongfully awarded owing to the suppres-

I am directed to report that this Committee is of opinion that the case described by the petitioner calls for attention, and recommends that some provision be made, if not already done, in the Native Land Court Bill at present before the House, to remedy as far as practicable cases of such nature.

8th August, 1894.

[Translation.]

No. 150.—Pitihana a Arapeta Patu, o Kawhia.

E inoi ana te kai-pitihana kia mahia he Ture kia ahei ai te tu he whakawa tuarua mo te ota whakatu kai-riiwhi ki te whenua e mohiotia ana ko Muriwai Poraka, e ki ana hoki ia r he te whaka-

taunga, no te mea i huna etahi o nga take.

Kua whakahaua ahau kia ki penei: Ko te keehi e whakaaturia nei e te kai-pitihana e tika ana kia uiuia, a ki te whakaaro a te Komiti me whakahaere he tikanga, mehemea ia kahore ano kia whakahaerea, i roto i te Pire Kooti Whenua Maori kei te aroaro o te Whare inaianei, hei whakaputa ora mo runga mo nga keehi penei, ina taea te whakatika.

8 Akuhata, 1894.

No. 174.—Petition of Hapimana Tunupaura and 52 Others.

Petitioners pray that certain lands in the Waipaoa Block be returned to them.

I am directed to report that the Committee is of opinion this petition should be referred to the Government for favourable consideration.

8th August, 1894.

[Translation.]

No. 174.—Pitihana a Hapimana Tunupaura me etahi atu e rima tekau ma rua.

E inoi ana nga kai-pitihana kia whakahokia atu ki a ratou etahi wahi whenua i roto i Waipaoa

Kua whakahaua ahau kia ki penei: Me tuku atu tenei pitihana ki te Kawanatanga kia ata whakaarohia paitia.

8 Akuhata, 1894.

No. 225.--Petition of TAEKATA TE TOKOIHI and Others.

Petitioners pray for legislation to be passed to enable a rehearing to be made of the subdivision of the Te Utanga No. IX. Block, Rotorua, as they allege the land has been wrongfully awarded to Mrs. Graham.

I am directed to report the Committee is of opinion that, as a lease of the piece of land referred to in the petition was awarded to Mrs. Graham by a private Act of last Parliament, it cannot recommend any action to be taken. The Committee may state, if the petitioners are entitled by succession to the land, they will have their remedy by applying to the Native Land Court to be appointed successors.

10th August, 1894.

[Translation.]

No. 225.—Pitihana a Taekata te Tokoihi me etahi atu.

E inoi ana te kai-pitihana kia mahia he Ture kia ahei ai te tu he whakawa tuarua mo te wehewehenga o Te Utanga Nama IX. Poraka, Rotorua, e ki ana hoki ratou i he te whakataunga o te whenua ki a Mrs. Graham.

Kua whakahaua ahau kia ki penei: Ki te whakaaro a tenei Komiti i runga it te mea i whakataua ki a Mrs. Graham he riihi mo te whenua e whakaaturia ana nei i roto i te pitihana, e tetahi Ture motuhake o te Paremete kua pahure ake nei, e kore te Komiti e ahei te whakaputa kupu kia whakahaerea he tikanga. Otiia te kupu a te Komiti mehemea e tika ana kia whai paanga nga kaipitihana ki te whenua i runga i te tikanga tu riiwhi, kei te marama te huarahi hei rapunga ora mo ratou, ara me tono ki te Kooti Whenua Maori kia whakaturia ratou hei kai-riiwhi.

10 Akuhata, 1894.

No. 54.—Petition of Meiha Keepa te Rangihiwinui (Major Kemp) (No. 1).

Petitioner prays that legislation may be passed enabling the Native Land Court to further inquire into the trust of the Horowhenua Block No. 11, and to ascertain by its ordinary methods who are the persons beneficially entitled to participate in the subdivision of the block, and in what

I am directed to report that the Committee is of opinion it should not proceed further with the hearing of this petition, as there is a suit pending in the Supreme Court affecting the matter.

10th August, 1894.