E.—1B.

(calculated, according to the new regulations, upon the number on the school rolls) was 38·2. To compare with last year, however, I give the percentage of passes on the number examined in standards only, omitting exceptions—70·2. In the majority of well-taught schools children appeared to look forward to examination with great pleasure, and the percentage of pupils present increased from 92 in 1885 to 93 in 1886. But some teachers, I am sorry to say, work up their pupils to an unhealthy state of excitement by constantly holding the examination and the Inspector before them in a threatening manner, in place of having the work regularly and well done week by week throughout the year simply because that it should be so done is the bounden duty of teacher and child, irrespective of any passing of standards or of censure or praise from the Inspector. Of the 255 children absent seventy belonged to the three Wanganui town schools. For the whole district the percentage of children absent in each standard was as follows: Standard VI., 12·6; Standard V., 6·7; Standard IV., 8·4; Standard III., 7·2; Standard II., 6·7; Standard I., 5·1. In looking at these percentages, however, it must be borne in mind that bad weather affects the attendance more in the lower than in the higher classes. The majority of absences in Standard I. were due either to this cause or to sickness.

The following table (Table A) gives a condensed summary of the examination results during the past two years, Table B shows the result in each Standard, and Table C (not printed) gives every information with regard to individual schools.

TABLE A.

		. ~-				
	<del></del>				1885.	1886.
Number on rolls on days of		5,834	5,874			
Not presented for promotion Preparatory classes Re-presented Passed in Standard VI	•••		•••		2,180 110 33 2,323	2,146  24 2,170
Presented in Standards I. to Percentage of roll number I Examined in Standards I. to Absent in standards, althout Excepted	oresented o VI.				3,511 60.5 3,233 278 193 974 2,066	3,704 $63.0$ $3,449$ $255$ $249$ $955$ $2,245$
Percentage of passes (roll r Percentage of failures Percentage of passes on nur Percentage of passes on omitting exceptions	nber examin			 dards,	35·4 32·1 63·9 67·9	38·2 29·8 65·0 70·2

TABLE B.

No. of Schools examined in Standards.	Standard Cla	sses.	Presented.	Absent.	Excepted.	Failed.	Passed.	Average Age of those that passed.	Percentage of Failures in Standards.
	~ -				-			Yrs. mos.	
	S 7		24					***	
38	S 6		143	18	4	45	76	14   2	37.2
52	S 5		336	23	25	126	162	13  2	43.8
66	S 4		542	46	40	209	247	12 6	45.8
67	S 3		775	56	54	233	432	11 6	35.0
66	S 2		849	57	62	177	553	10 4	24.2
68	S 1		1,059	55	64	165	775	9 0	17.6
	P		2,146	• • • •					
	Totals	•••	5,874	255	249	955	2,245	*	29.8

<sup>\*</sup> Mean of average age, 11 years 9 months.

I am glad to see that the number below Standard I. is less than it was in 1885, though the number on the rolls is higher; or, in other words, the percentage of the roll number presented in Standards I. to VI., inclusive, is higher than it was in 1885. The percentage of passes for the district on the number examined in standards, omitting exceptions, has increased from 67.9 in 1885 to 70.2 in 1886; or, to put it in another way, the percentage of failures has decreased from 32.1 to