## 1875. NEW ZEALAND.

## REPORT OF THE REGISTRAR-GENERAL OF LAND.

(FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 1875.)

Presented to both Houses of the General Assembly by Command of His Excellency.

Office of Registrar-General of Land,

Christchurch, 20th July, 1875. SIR. I have the honor to lay before you the result of the working of the Land Transfer Act for the year ending the 30th June, 1875.

The revenue for the year, exclusive of Assurance Fund, has been £11,727, the expenditure about £8,900.

The receipts for the ensuing year may be estimated at £13,000, the expenditure at about £9,500.

The department has for the last two years been more than self-supporting. The returns appended

show a large increase of business during the past year.

With the view of instituting a comparison between the working of the Land Transfer system in New Zealand and in the Australian colonies, my predecessor, by the aid of the Government, collected the information a summary of which (D) is appended. The Australian returns are for the year ending December 1873, as against those of New Zealand for the year ending 30th June, 1875. The comparison is nevertheless, in point of time, somewhat unfair to New Zealand, on account of the longer period during which the system has been in operation in the other colonies, which more than compensates for the difference in the dates of the returns. Taking this into account, it will be seen that relatively to population the business returns for this colony compare favourably with those of other colonies, whilst for economy of administration the comparison is very favourable to New Zealand. The contrary might have been expected, seeing that in each of the Australian colonies the business is conducted from one centre, whilst in New Zealand it is found necessary to maintain ten District Registrys. It is probable that this, although at first sight a disadvantage, has by the facilities afforded been conducive to the rapid extension of business, and thus more than compensated in revenue for the additional expenditure incurred.

Notwithstanding some exceptional difficulties, chiefly in relation to Native lands (but which have now for the most part been removed), the Land Transfer system has, I believe, here as elsewhere, answered all reasonable expectations, and may be justly claimed as an important reform in the practice

of conveyancing.

Those who ignore the difference in point of responsibility between this and other systems of registration, occasionally take exception to the strictness of practice which it is found necessary to enforce in matters of survey and otherwise. When it is considered that registration under the Land Transfer Act involves absolute responsibility for title, it will be seen that a vigilant regard for accuracy is the only principle on which such a system can be conducted. It is not claimed for the Land Transfer only principle on which such a system can be conducted. It is not claimed for the Land Transfer system that it renders possible the abandonment of the ordinary safeguards of business, or the evasion of restrictions imposed by law on dealings with real property. Its merit as a system of conveyancing consists mainly in this, that it divests the subject of complications and technicalities, and brings it within the range of ordinary business capacity. How effectually this is done is evidenced by the fact that a large and increasing proportion of the dealings with land under the Land Transfer Act is conducted with perfect facility by persons without any professional knowledge or experience. Exclusive of solicitors, there are upwards of fifty licensed land-brokers practising under the Act. With regard to the cost of dealing with land, the reduction of which is one great object of the Land Transfer system, it may be observed that the office fees have been fixed on as low a scale as is consistent with the due maintenance of the department, being in some instances lower than in the Australian colonies. There maintenance of the department, being in some instances lower than in the Australian colonies. There is reason, however, to believe that the attempt to regulate business charges under the Act has been only partially successful.

The Government is, I presume, fully informed as to the unreliable character of the public surveys

in many parts of the colony. Much evil has been predicted to the Land Transfer system from this source, and it cannot be denied that there exists a serious liability to complications of the nature suggested, though, I think, by no means to the extent anticipated. The tendency is practically towards the settlement of such questions on the basis of occupation.

In connection with this subject, I may observe that the absence in this colony of a department corresponding to that of Surveyor-General in other colonies, has always been felt as a disadvantage by this department in dealing with questions of survey, and in deciding on the qualifications of persons applying to be licensed as surveyors under the Act.

I append returns—(A) Of the business transacted under the Act during the past year; (B) of the fees received during the same period; (C) of amounts secured by mortgage under the Land Transfer Act during the past year, and remaining so secured on the 30th June last; and (D) comparative summary before alluded to.

Deeds Registry.

The falling off in the receipts of this department which was anticipated in consequence of the introduction of the Land Transfer Act has so far not been realized. The receipts for the year ending 30th June, 1875, were £15,840, being in excess of those for the preceding year. The expenditure for the past year was about £8,800.

The receipts of the Deeds Registry Department for the ensuing year may be estimated at £16,000,

the expenditure at £9,230.

I am not aware of anything further which calls for special notice in relation to either of the departments.

I have, &c.,

The Hon. the Minister of Justice, Wellington.

GEO. B. DAVY, Registrar-General of Land.

A .- STATEMENT of BUSINESS TRANSACTED under the LAND TRANSFER ACTS for the YEAR ending the 30th June, 1875.

	Геваев. Роwета Аttorney.			3414	72	87 53	11 8	11 1	21 4	22 7	e	61	; ;	64 92
-	.bəjiso	Maps dep		12	33	33	12	-	63	9	ಣ	Ø	ಣ	3774 10 1413 116 71 111 264 92
		General S   Caveats a		27	44 8	4014	1 5	₹1	21 5	9		-		1671
					485	334	6	22	195	62	13	21	-21	113
	Caveats.			142	81	4	1	;	:	83	-	:	:	101
	oussi s	Certificate		330	1023	1205	459	132	208	209	66	17	92	3774
		Value.			8.3		oais		BuU					(i)
	 	ıtry.	ei ei	5 5	အ	21	3	69	0 31	3 10	;	0 26	0 0	2 34.3
INT		Country	Α.	281,675	•68,231	280,097	44,161	1,490	6,649 0	45,374 3	•	1,658 0	1,460 0	730,799
CROWN GRANTS	AREA.			28]	_	58(	4		_	#			<del></del>	
N W		Town and Suburbs.	ъ. Б.	∞ 4	1 6.4	1 27	9 0	23	2 12	0 1	3 36	1 17	1 17	3 7.4
CRC		Town and Suburbs.	₽.	387 8	682 1	94 ]	89	304 2	14.2	289 1	25	272	12 1	150 8
		No.		222	0 1030	635	111	424	20	118	101	47	33	1,565,854 14 10 2771 2,150 3
	<u> </u> 	<u> </u>		11		Т	.01	10	11	က	<b>00</b>	0	•	10 1
	Amount Secured.		zi.	88 17	6 15	9 4.	2 0.	33	33	2 6	14 18	55 10	žč vo	4 14
	   	Am Seci	ભ	119,968	301,576	635,877	94,570	54,033	177,003	133,569	5,864	19,585	25,805	65,85
		<u> </u>						•						1
MORTGAGES	ABEA.	trry.	5. 5.	3 23	1 38.5	1 37.5	2 26	4	9	1 26	1 26	0 20	0 34	1 38
₹T.G-A		Country		333,069	61,940	180,724 1	42,218	27,362	95,616 0	47,499 1	1,155	4,228	4,937	,752
MOE				333		180	42	27					4,	2 2 2 8
	•	and rbs.	ei •	37	21.6	0	24	7	36.2	6.45	8	39.7	24	38.5
		Town and Suburbs.	A. B.	150 2	169 2	158 2	95 3	24 0	27 0	543 3	4 1	10 3	12 1	1 261
		No. 7	·	151 1	449 1	767	184	111	172	74	39	56	68	1 102012 1,197 1 38 55 798,752 1
	i		Ġ.	10	0	10 7	8	101	0	63	-	- 9	-	$\frac{1020}{1020}$
	sideration Money.		wi	2	4 15	8 18	0 10	7 15	ت ق	4 15	4. 8	4. 73	7	.
		Consideration Money.		90,184	164,294	218,958	90,730	20,02	59,285	40,474	9,434	6,594	8,542	708,577
		<u>0</u>												
ERS.		bry.	ਲ ਦ	8 0	2 20.4	9.5	0 14	3 15	1 29	2 39	3 19	1 9	0 37	98
TRANSFERS	ند	Country.	1	233,513 (	27,254 2	97,348 0	88,882 0 14	5,342 3 15	35,980 1 29	30,244 2 39	2,265 3 19	1,945 1	5,259 0 37	980
TRA	ARBA.				27,		86	ري 		90,				1528,
		and rbs.	e;	178 2 39.7	82	315 1 11:46	67	33	87 0 0.95	156 1 19:90	18 2 11-4	87	က	17.4
		Town and Suburbs.	<b>4.</b> B.	78 2	440 0 28	115 1	76 2	30 2 33	87 0	56 1	18 2	5 1	.13 1	0 221
		No.		271 1	865 4		5881,176 2	162	190	153 1	114	34	- 66	803,913 10 3 3488 2,422 0 17.41 528,036 0 38.9
	<u> </u>	<b>A</b>	 G	0	8	3 1012	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	334
		ne.	æ	0 9	10	0	5 15	0 0	0 9	0 1	7 10	0 1	) 15	3 10
		Value.		47,356	213,111 10	313,244	70,535 15	68,660	35,006	32,681	7,197	4,351	11,770 15	316'8(
AS.		·				69								_!
TIOL		7.74	ь <b>.</b>	24	14,612 0 25.4	0	16	82	15	10	22	98	13	31.4
TCA	_	Country.	ρά ,	11,508 1	312 0	23,838 3	7,238 0 16	30,207 3 28	6,721 0 15	8,927"0 10	386 0 25	324 3 36	1,610 1 12	374 3
APPLICATIONS	AREA	5	4	11,5	14,6	23,8		30,5	6,1			~-		105,8
	7	and rbs.	ei	30	က	22	1.6	34	90	66 3 189	52	0 33	21 0 12.5	22
		Town and Suburbs.	A. B.	52 3	122 2	618 133 1 25	98 2	45 2 34	54 3 30	66 3	10 0 25	1 0	21 0	307 1
		No.	·	133	345 122	618 1	124	105	216	16	73	4	51	763 (
	Ę.			:	:			:		Вау	:	:	ıgh	Total 1768 607 1 22 105,374 3 31.4
	DISTRICT.			Auckland	0.	Canterbury	Wellington	g	Southland	Hawke's Bay	Westland	Tarsnaki	Marlborough	Total
	DIS			Anck	Otago	Cant	Well	Nelson	Sout	Нам	West	Tars	Mar	
·														

B.—FEES received under the Land Transfer Acts, for the Year ending the 30th June, 1875.

		DISTRIC		General Fees.			Land Assurance.			Totals.				
						£	8.		£		d.	£		d
<b>A</b> uckland	•••	•••	***	•••		921	8	2	103	6	6	1,024	14	8
Otago	•••					2,789	0	0	638	19	6	3,427	19	•
Canterbury	•••			•••		4,057	2	8	789	0	3	4,846	2	11
Wellington			•••			1,214	14	0	225	13	0	1,440	7	(
Nelson	•••	•••	***	•••		820	3	0	150	12	3	970		8
Southland	•••	•••	•••			746	11	5	92	9	6	839		11
Hawke's Bay	•••	•••	•••	•••		508	8	0	89	17	5	598	5	
Westland	•••	•••	***	•••		310	12	4	16		4	327	2	8
Taranaki	•••	•••	• •••			95	9	2	15	13	10 l	111	3	ā
Marlborough		•••	***	•••		264	3	0	30	3	9	294	6	g
Total			•••	•••		£11,727	11	9	£2,152	6	4	£13,879	18	1

## C.—RETURN showing Total Amount in Money remaining secured by Mortgage under the Land Transfer Act, on the 30th June, 1875.

	D	ISTRICT.			Total Amount by Mortgage un Land Transfer during the year the 30th June,	Amount of M paid off dur same period	ing		Total Amount remaining secured by Mortgage under the Land Trans Act, on the 30th June 1875.				
				_		~					<del>*</del>		
					£	8.	d.	£	8.	d.	£	8.	d.
Auckland		•••	•••		119,968	17	11	39,146		5	192,018	3	7
Otago	•••	•••	•••		301,576	15	0	64,575	0	0	611,274	8	5
Canterbury					635,877	6	1	42,196	6	9	1,219,871	14	9
Wellington	•••	•••	•••		94,570	7	2	38,179	5	4	174,779	3	0
Nelson	•••	•••	•••		54,033	1	10	15,289	2	4	78,697	6	9
Southland		•••	•••	***	177,003	5	11	42,692	9	3	334,305	13	9
Hawke's Bay			•••		133,569	7	3	48,246	18	10	165,587	8	5
Westland				• • •	5,864	18	8	1,076	17	0	9,398	0	8
Taranaki			•••	·	19,585	10	0	1,058	1	0	31,320	19	0
Marlborough		•••	•••	•••	23,805	5	0	2,598	0	0	30,473	14	0
Total	l				£1,565,854	14	10	£295,058	5	11	£2,847,726	12	4

D.—TABLE showing the Work done and Fees received in the Offices for Registration of Title in the Australian Colonies, Tasmania, and New Zealand, and the Cost of Maintenance of those Offices in the several Colonies. (The particulars for the Australian Colonies and Tasmania are from Official Returns for the Year 1873; the particulars for New Zealand are for the Financial Year ending 30th June, 1875.)

COLONY.	Population of Colony, 31 Dec. 1873.	No. of Applications to bring land under the Act.	No. of Trans- fers.	No. of Mort- gages.	Receipts (exclusive of Assurance Fund).	Cost of Maintenance.	Profit.	Loss.
			h	2 224	£ s. d			£ s. d.
Victoria	790,492	1,149	7,758	2,204	18,380 2 10	,	4,163 9 6	
New South Wales	560,275	258	1,283	434	3,240 6 8		•••	1,759 13 4
South Australia	198,075	738	3,014	1,667	7,253 19 0		1,323 19 0	•••
Queensland	146,690	213	2,397	363	3,367 1 1	3,432 13 0		65 11 <b>11</b>
Tasmania	104, 217	118	137	117	678 10 6	1,300 3 11		621 13 5
New Zealand	†320,000	1,763	3,488	2,012	11,727 11 8	8,900 0 0	2,827 11 9	

<sup>\*</sup> This sum includes the cost of a Régister of Deeds, from which no revenue is received.
† The population of New Zealand is estimated approximately on the basis of the Census of 1874, and is exclusive of