PROVINCE OF NELSON.

Return of Prisoners whose Sentences have been remitted, in whole or in part by His Excellency the Governor since 1st January, 1860.

Names.	By what Court sentenced.	Term of sen- tence.	Date when Sentence would expire.	Date when Pardon took effect.	By whom recommended for Pardon,	General Remarks.
1 Alfred Saunders	By the Supreme 6 months Court	6 months	July 25, 1860	April 22, 1860	A Petition was sent to the Governor by the inhabitants of the Province with one thousand and seventy-seven signatures attached praying for remission of the sentence of invarisonment	The Prisoner was convicted for a Libel upon the Judge of the District Court and was sentenced to 6 months imprisonment and to pay a fine of £150. A nardon was granted him on condition
2 Charles Rudman	By the Supreme 6 years	6 years	Feb. 11, 1864	Dec. 7, 1860	A Petition was presented by the prisoner through the Gaoler to His Excellency the Governor on his visiting Nelson	that he paid the fine, which was immediately paid. On His Excellency's arrival again at Auckland, the prisoner received a letter to say that if his conduct could be re-
.3 Henry Dillon	By the Supreme	2 vears	July 15, 1862	August 12, 1861	with a character for good conduct. His Excellency said that he should lay it before Mr. Justice Gresson who tried the case and the memorial should be considered. The Prisoner was convicted on a charge of Bestiality. A Memorial was sent by the Prisoner	commended at the close of that year, a memorial would then be favourably received; his conduct had been uniformly good all the time and on a memorial being forwarded at the close of the year he received a pardon. The prisoner was convicted of highway
	Court				through the Gaoler to His Excellency through the hands of Mr. Justice Johnston with a character for good behaviour in the Gaol, praying for a remission of his sentence on account of the conviction of Wm. Harper the constable	robbery and violence through the evidence of Wm. Harper, the constable who swore that he took a certain knife from the prisoners pocket claimed by the proscutor at his trial. The constable was afterwards indicted for Perjury,
•		,			the principal witness against him for Perjury.	tried and convicted on the oaths of five witnesses who said the same knife was picked up by a boy the day after the robbery was committed.